

Experiences from a clinical ethics committee

Thor Willy Ruud Hansen, MD, PhD, MHA, FAAP

Clinical Ethics Committee and Department of Pediatrics, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, and

Faculty of Medicine

University of Oslo, Norway





Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway



Brief background

- Clinical ethics appeared on the agenda during 1960-70ies in the USA
 - The Americans have had clinical ethics committees since the 1970ies
 - If U.S. hospitals want to be accredited and get contracts with "third party payers", they now need to have such committees
- Clinical Ethics Committees (CECs) were started in Norway in 1996
 - Rikshospitalet was one of a group of 3 hospitals to initiate this work



Brief background

- In 2000 the Norwegian Parliament decided that all hospitals must have a clinical ethics committee
 - Currently there are clinical ethics committees in 38 hospitals in Norway
- Rikshospitalet and the Norwegian Cancer Hospital were merged a few years back
 - A joint CEC for the two hospitals was established on January 1st, 2006



Clinical ethics in the world

- The World Medical Association has published documents dealing with principles of medical ethics
 - Although these do not necessarily represent a true international consensus, I believe they are quite representative of current thinking. A link to these documents may be found at:
 - WMA Ethics Unit <http://www.wma.net/e/ethicsunit/>



Clinical ethics in the world

- The British Medical Association has a very active medical ethics unit
 - The following link is to their handbook of 2004:
 - BMA Handbook on ethics and the law:
<http://www.bma.org.uk/ap.nsf/Content/MET2004>
 - Some updates to the chapters were published in 2007:
<http://www.bma.org.uk/ap.nsf/Content/MET2007updates>



Clinical ethics in the world

- The American Medical Association also has very useful medical ethics documents on the web:
 - <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/category/2416.html>
- This includes their 9 principles of medical ethics:
 - <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/category/2512.html>
- The Russian Medical Society has a Committee for Medical Ethics
 - I have not been able to find a web link for this committee



Tasks and mandate of a CEC

- A CEC should identify, analyze, and if possible solve ethical problems connected to the clinical activities of a hospital
- A CEC should work to make the staff more aware of the ethical issues involved in resource utilization, and should present a holistic perspective when it comes to prioritizing between the diverse needs of the patients served by the hospital
- A CEC should work to enhance the quality of the encounters between the hospital and the patients



Tasks and mandate

- A CEC
 - takes cases under consideration
 - evaluates the questions raised by the case
 - attempts to shed light on unclear points
 - gives advice to the clinical team in charge of patient care
 - may occasionally formulate quite strong opinions
- However –
 - Clinical responsibility always rests with the physician in charge of the care of the patient



CECs vs RECs

- CECs do not, as a rule, deal with questions concerning research ethics
- Separate committees for research ethics are constituted in all Norwegian health regions (Regional Ethics Committees = RECs)
 - All research protocols involving human study subjects must be approved by a REC*
 - *or its designated representative



How is a CEC composed?

- A clinical ethics committee should be composed of representatives of the different professions working in the hospital
- A lay person or representative of the public is also often included in the committees



The CEC at Rikshospitalet

•We have 12 members as follows:

- Chair – MD, professor of pediatrics
- Assistant chair –MD, oncologist
- Secretary – clerical staff and patient/family representative
- MD - clinical oncologist
- MD – professor of medical ethics
- Nurse - neurology
- Nurse – oncology
- Nurse – oncology
- PhD – professor of physical therapy
- JD – lawyer/legal counsel
- Social worker – child psychiatry
- DD – hospital chaplain



How does a CEC work?

- Patient cases may be evaluated prospectively or retrospectively
 - The goal is to achieve a comprehensive characterization of the ethical questions involved, and to discuss these in a multidisciplinary forum
- Thematic meetings in hospital departments or divisions
 - Emphasis on insight, understanding, and attitudes
- General questions or questions of overarching principles may be discussed without relation to concrete patients
 - For example questions concerning prioritization
- Open seminars which focus on specific problems and challenges



Who may attend CEC meetings?

- Members of the CEC
- Those who have requested evaluation of a case or question
 - Possibly also others from the department/division involved
- +/- Patient and/or next of kin, alternatively a representative appointed by the patient
 - If a concrete/recognizable patient is discussed, the patient must be aware and should give consent to disclosure of sensitive information
 - Cases may also be discussed anonymously
 - This appears to be becoming less common



Typical plan for deliberations

- Presentation of the case
 - Which questions are asked?
- Explanation of those medical facts necessary to understand the case
- Identification of the involved parties
 - "Who owns the problem?"
- Attempts to identify the ethical problems raised by the case
 - Deciding which aspects need to be evaluated
 - Which alternative answers/solutions are possible?



Typical plan for deliberations

- This is followed by an open discussion in the committee
- Proposals are put forward for possible solutions
- The CEC chair then summarizes the discussions and attempts to formulate a conclusion
 - There may be consensus or divergent views



What does a CEC not do?

- A CEC is not a court of law!
 - We have no power to sanction
 - We do not pass judgments on "bad ethics"
 - Obviously we sometimes express views that diverge from the way in which a case was managed
 - Ideally the individual or department which receives this type of opinion would use this as a tool to improve their management when/if a similar situation occurs again
 - Occasionally we are also able to give a "pat on the shoulder" to individuals and departments
 - A CEC is not out to "get" somebody!



What does a CEC not do?

- A CEC does not handle personell or staff issues
 - If colleagues behave badly or do not exhibit a reasonable professional standard, these are issues for personell management or for the clinic/department leadership
 - However, if bad behavior or inadequate standards result in ethical conflicts, a CEC may reasonably be asked to handle the issue



The legal situation in Norway

- Several laws in Norway impact upon the practice of clinical ethics
 - The law of patients' rights
 - Patients have the right to have their condition evaluated
 - Patients have the right to be informed of their illness
 - Patients have the right to shared decision-making as regards their treatment
 - This includes the right to refuse treatment
 - And the right to chose between alternative treatments
 - » Limited to a choice between treatments which are documented or accepted by the medical community



The legal situation in Norway

- The health personell law
 - This law describes the rights and responsibilities of doctors, nurses, and other people with training in the medical field
 - Persons without medical training are not permitted to offer medical care to sick people
 - Medical/nursing professionals are held to a professional standard of care
 - Medical/nursing professionals must respects the patient's right to confidentiality
 - Sign an "oath of silence"



The legal situation in Norway

- The child protection law

- Society and its representatives must safeguard the welfare of children
- If parents are not competent to take proper care of their children, society has a duty to step in
 - This may be temporary or permanent, depending on circumstances
- All communities in Norway have a publicly appointed child protection board whose duty it is to look after the welfare of children



The legal situation in Norway

- The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child
 - Art.3: "In all actions concerning children, whether undertaken by public or private social welfare institutions, courts of law, administrative authorities or legislative bodies, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration."
- Having signed the Convention, Norway is obliged to consider the articles of the convention, also as they may pertain to health care
 - The question of what constitutes "the best interest" of a child occasionally comes up in the weighing of treatment alternatives



Children in clinical ethics

- Parents have the right and the duty to make decisions on behalf of their child.
 - Children have a right to be heard, which increases with age.
 - Between the ages of 12-16 years children's right to be heard increases. After the age of 16 it would take a lot to override the opinion of the youngster.
- Parents must be included in medical decision-making which concerns their child
 - However, parents cannot demand treatment which the responsible physician finds to be professionally or ethically improper
 - Also, they cannot refuse treatment which the responsible physician finds to be in the child's best interest
 - For example, parents who are Jehova's Witnesses cannot refuse a blood transfusion to an underage child



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - NN was a 4 week old baby with Trisomy 18
 - He started to have apneas and was initially resuscitated with bag-and-mask ventilation
 - The hospital informed the parents that such apneas usually lead to the death of babies with trisomy 18
 - Therefore the hospital determined that further resuscitation was futile in this baby with poor prognosis
 - Further resuscitation would not be undertaken
 - The father demanded that the child be kept alive by repeated resuscitation



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - The case of NN was brought before the Rikshospitalet CEC by the hospital where he was being treated
 - The hospital's case was presented to the CEC in writing
 - The father and his lawyer met the CEC in a 2-way phone conference from the city where NN was being treated
 - The father and his lawyer presented their views on the case verbally and answered questions and comments from CEC members



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - The Rikshospitalet CEC gave the following opinion:
 - The medical facts are indisputable
 - The diagnosis of trisomy 18 has been established beyond doubt
 - Pure (non-mosaic) trisomy 18 carries an extremely poor prognosis - very few children with this diagnosis live beyond the age of 1 year, and these children are without exception severely retarded
 - Further resuscitation is likely to be painful for the infant



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - The interested parties in this case are:
 - The infant - it is not clear that he has an "interest" in prolonging a life of which he is likely not aware
 - The mother and father - though their interest was not shared. Thus, the mother did not agree with the father's wishes for resuscitation.
 - An older sibling of the infant, who needed a lot of care because of developmental issues
 - The hospital staff, who felt that resuscitating this infant was incompatible with their view of medical ethics and with a caring attitude to the child
 - Society at large, which has an interest in protecting the welfare of children, preventing child abuse, and limiting the use of medical resources for a treatment that appeared futile



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child

- The ethical questions appear to be:

- What constitutes the "best interest" of this infant?
 - Is a "substituted judgment" possible?
 - Can parents demand continued treatment which may be painful for the child, regardless of the prognosis?
 - When parents disagree, do the wishes of one parent take precedence over those of the other parent?
 - Do "sanctity of life" considerations demand that life support be continued in all such situations?
 - What role can/should "quality of life" considerations play in weighing the alternatives?



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child

- The opinion of the CEC:

- It is difficult to see that an infant with a confirmed diagnosis of non-mosaic trisomy 18 can have any "interest" of its own in prolonging a life without possibility of meaningful cognition
 - Repeated resuscitation of this infant in reality prolongs death rather than prolonging "life"
 - Parents do not have a right to insist on painful procedures which do not have a potential for prolonging a life from which the child itself can derive benefit
 - » In fact, it is quite possible that the child will not even be aware of its life
 - The wishes of one parent for prolonging "life" can have no precedence against the other in circumstances like these



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - The opinion of the CEC:
 - A "sanctity of life" argument in this situation would appear to demand continued life support with currently available technology in all dying persons
 - » Clearly, the available equipment and personell resources would be exhausted very quickly in caring for patients for whom no hope of cure is possible with our current knowledge
 - Quality of life considerations will need to be factored in when prioritizing scarce resources
 - » Thus, in a hypothetical scenario where only 1 respirator is available with 2 patients needing ventilatory support, expected quality of life needs to be part of the discussion - though it is not necessarily the only relevant argument!
 - Conclusion: The hospital is under no legal or ethical obligation to resuscitate or provide other types of life support for this infant



Children in clinical ethics

- Example of a recent CEC case involving a child
 - The father of the child would not accept the hospital's decision or the opinion of the CEC.
 - He therefore brought this case before the courts, claiming that the infant's rights to emergency medical treatment had been violated.
 - The opinion of the CEC was subsequently supported by courts of law up to the Supreme Court



Another problem handled by the CEC

- Case scenario
 - A woman who had a sterilization procedure by tubal ligation some years ago requests recanalization
 - There is a new man in her life, and she wants a child by him
 - The gynecologist is concerned because:
 - The local social services office has expressed concern about this woman's parenting ability
 - The "new man" is currently in prison because of a narcotics offence



Another problem handled by the CEC

- The gynecologist's question:
 - Does this woman have a right to demand that this procedure be performed?
- The opinion of the CEC
 - Recanalization at public expense after sterilization is request for public assistance to have a child
 - There are two possible analogies to this situation:
 - Requests for adoption
 - Requests for in vitro fertilization



Another problem handled by the CEC

- The opinion of the CEC
 - Norwegian law determines that people who want to adopt a child, as well as people who request in vitro fertilization to have a child, must be evaluated as far as their ability to care for the child
 - Information must be sought from sources such as the social service agency
 - If the applicants are not deemed able to properly care for a child, adoption and IVF will be denied



Another problem handled by the CEC

- The opinion of the CEC
 - It would seem reasonable that requests for recanalization be judged by the same criteria
 - As the purpose is the same – to have a child
 - The CEC suggested that the woman and her partner be evaluated following to the same rules that apply to IVF and adoption
 - And that recanalization not be offered unless the criteria were satisfied



Resolving disagreement

- Interpretation of the medical situation
 - The patient/parents/next-of-kin and the medical experts do not always have the same understanding of the facts
 - The patient is improving or a miracle will occur, vs
 - The patient is dying and/or has no long term survival chances
 - It is important to try to understand the basis for the patient's/parents' interpretation
 - What are their thoughts on health, disease, body, and function?
 - What is the impact of religion or life philosophy?



Resolving disagreement

- Use of "second opinion"
 - If patients in Norway do not agree with the opinions or advice of the physician, they are entitled to a second opinion by another expert
 - This can occur either by transferring the patient to another hospital, or by having an external expert see the patient in the hospital where she/he is currently hospitalized



Ethical decisions in medicine

- "Practice makes perfect....."
 - Advanced clinical medicine demands a lot of training if you want to get good at it
 - In Norway medical school takes 6-7 years, and most specialties require 5-6 additional years of training
 - Becoming a "sub-specialist" requires 2-3 years of additional training
 - if you want to be "a star" you have to work even harder!!
 - Everybody understands and accepts that this is the case!



Ethical decisions in medicine

- ”Practice makes perfect
- Clinical ethics also requires a lot of study and practice
 - You need basic knowledge about medical ethics
 - Knowledge about the law and patients’ rights
 - Knowledge about the range of human emotion
 - Training in communication
 - Training in sensitivity
 - The ability to reflect on who you are and to know yourself
 - The ability to control your emotions when confronted with the sufferings of others
- It is possible to learn this!!



Thank you for your attention!

